

Appl. No. 10/634,457  
Amdt. dated August 11, 2004  
Reply to Office action of December 11, 2003

**REMARKS:**

The Office Action dated December 11, 2003 has been received and carefully reviewed. Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and the remarks below.

Claims 20-33 are pending in the application, with claims 20, 26, 28 and 32 in independent format. Claims 1-19 have been cancelled and claims 20-33 are new.

In the initial Office Action Claims 1-19 were rejected under the statutory doctrine of double patenting under 35 U.S. C. § 101 as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,634,461.

Accordingly, claims 1-19 have been cancelled. Applicant submits that new claims 20-33 do not claim the same invention as the cancelled claims.

No new matter has been added by amendment of the claims, since all of the details set forth in the new claims are depicted in the drawing figures and are either explicitly set forth or are implicit in the description of the preferred embodiments.

Claims 20-33 are presented for consideration. Applicants contend that said claims define apparatus and methods for a wireless coordinated lift system which are not anticipated by or obvious in view of and which do not claim from any of the references of

Appl. No. 10/634,457  
Amdt. dated August 11, 2004  
Reply to Office action of December 11, 2003

record, either singly or in combination. Therefore, the allowance of Claims 20-33 is earnestly solicited.

In the event that the Examiner is of the opinion that the prosecution of this application can be advanced thereby, he is invited to contact Applicants' attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

William J. Baker

By Marcia J. Rodgers

Marcia J. Rodgers Reg. No. 33,765  
Dennis A. Crawford Reg. No. 28,940  
Shughart Thomson & Kilroy, P.C.  
120 West 12th Street  
Kansas City, Missouri 64105  
Tel: (816) 421-3355  
Fax: (816) 374-0509

MJR:/  
attachments